

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
-Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 5202 10/772,794 02/05/2004 Kent Talley TKE-100-B **EXAMINER** 22825 10/19/2004 7590 WILLIAM M HANLON, JR BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL YOUNG & BASILE, PC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3001 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD **SUITE 624** 3636 TROY, MI 48084-3107

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/772,794	TALLEY, KENT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony D Barfield	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-6,8,10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chmela et al. Chmela et al discloses the use of an one-piece unitary body (1) having a base (4) and two sides (2,3) extending at a 90-degree angle therefrom. Chmela et al. further discloses that the edge protector is made from a lightweight transparent material.
- 3. Claims 1-2,13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pryce. Pryce discloses the use of an one-piece unitary body (3) having a base (5) with an aperture (5) in order to allow for a threaded shank from a leg in order to attach the body over an edge of an article of furniture (see Figs. 4 and 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-12, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pryce in view of Chmela et al. Pryce shows a plurality of apertures (or perforations (12)) formed

Art Unit: 3636

in the sides of the body. Pryce fails to teach the use of a triangular shaped body having two sides extending at a 90-degree angle from the base. Chmela shows the use of a body having two planar sides extending at a 90-degree angle from a base (see 102 rejections). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the body of Pryce with a shape as taught by Chmela in order to better accommodate furniture with very sharp edges.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Nos. 5,508,078, 5,040,684, and 4,153,230 show features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Anthony D Barfield

Art Unit 3636

adb October 16, 2004